# Advantages and key Issues in the proposed model

#### **Ashwin Gambhir**

Prayas (Energy Group), Pune

2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2012, Mumbai



# Advantages of the proposed model

- Core Objective is to provide access.
- Equity in consumer tariffs
- Clarity in (future) grid integration.
- Internalization of rural electrification costs.
- Integrated (Generation + Distribution) Model for rural access.
- Enhanced Bankability
- Possibility of large scale deployment for access

### Key Issues/Challenges and options

Issue	Options		
Regulatory Jurisdiction	Determination of FiT for off-grid renewables/consumer tariffs /cost pass through Annual Revenue Requirement (ARR)		
Selection of Project Developer	FCFS within empanelled list/Competitive Bidding at some aggregate (maybe district) level		
Selection of Village	Distance from grid / No of HHs / Hours of existing supply		
Monitoring & Verification	Not too onerous yet able to maintain effectiveness, Empanelled energy auditor + CA certification		
Funding Viability Gap	From ARR / State/GoI (MNRE) subsidy		
Off-grid service standards	Grievance Redressal Mechanism		
Service Obligation for new DRE project in a given area	Universal/Not universal		
Up to date State Rural Electrification Plan	Needs to be done/redone considering latest development of RGGVY; new technical/economic developments in DRE		

# DRE funding in perspective

State	No. of unelectrified villages on 31st Jan 2012 (as per CEA)	Annual requirement of funds (Rs Cr)	As a fraction of their Annual Revenue Requirement (ARR)
Orissa	10063	184	2.6%
Bihar	4926	90	2.0%
Uttar Pradesh	11492	210	1.2%
Rajasthan	3140	57	0.3%
Maharashtra	36	1	0.002%

Assuming **100 HHs per village**;
Consumption of **1 kWh/HH/day** and a viability gap of **Rs 5/kWh** 

# THANK YOU

ashwin [at] prayaspune [dot] org



Prayas Energy Group www.prayaspune.org/peg