Comments/suggestions on "Draft Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019 for the tariff period from 1.4.2019 to 31.3.2024"

Public Hearing Feb 01, 2019

Prayas (Energy Group), Pune

Approach and Perspective

- About a third of 220 GW thermal capacity regulated by CERC and CERC MYT regulations act as model regulations for state Commissions
- MYT process is an opportunity to evaluate performance and efficacy of current norms
- Prayas submission objectives:
 - Simplicity of tariff determination
 - Incentive structures to boost efficiency while also ensuring accountability
 - Compliance with environmental norms and regulations
 - Sound planning practices and avoidance of stranded assets

Three part tariff structure

- Specifying normative availability exclusive of scheduled maintenance inappropriate, hence should not be done.
- Specifying hourly / daily peaks may not be relevant for thermal plants and make process more complex than necessary
- Simpler process suggested as follows:
 - RLDCs, in consultation with respective state beneficiaries, to prescribe monthly target availability in three brackets at year beginning
 - For peak load months
 - For off-peak months without scheduled maintenance
 - For off-peak months with scheduled maintenance
 - Naturally, specified availability inclusive of outages
 - Generators to plan and notify outages at beginning of year should not be during peak months
 - Under-achievement of availability in peak months not to be compensated in other months
 - Under-achievement of availability in non-peak months can be compensated against other non-peak months
 - Uniform incentive (independent of peak or off-peak) of Rs. 0.50 / kWh for over-achieving PLF

Computation of Energy Charge for Thermal Stations

- Clause 52(3) permits usage of alternative fuels as long as the cost increase is less than 30%
 - No need for prior regulatory approval and/or prior consent from the procurers, unless the existing PPA explicitly requires such consent.
- Highly inappropriate in the context of:
 - Amendment to NCDP dated July 2013 has specified that coal suppliers have to supply 65% - 75% of contracted coal beyond which imports are permitted
 - Proposed provision allows cost increase of 30% even if 65% 75% responsibility not met
 - Particularly relevant when many coal based plants are operating at PLFs well below 75%
 - Dilutes responsibility of CIL
 - Burdens consumers in a context of lack of transparency regarding coal requisitioning, coal supply and distribution of coal shortages among coal consumers

Compliance with environmental norms...1

- Defining the norms notified as per Environment (Protection) Amendment Rules, 2015 as 'Revised Emission Standards' conveys a narrow interpretation as the said rules also include norms for water utilisation and are not restricted or limited to emissions alone. Therefore, calling the same revised environmental regulations / standards / norms, would be more appropriate.
- Serious concern regarding progress to comply with environmental norms notified in 2015, as till
 date no significant effort seems to have been taken
 - Compliance with statuary requirements cannot be subject to cost recovery considerations
- Capital expenditure for meeting with environmental norms to be approved by the Commission
 - Commission should also track progress of utilising investment to meet norms
- Hence,
 - Commission should mandate that plants submit periodic status reports
 - Commission should hold generators accountable for (lack of) progress, capex approval should be subject to compliance
 - No IDC if delayed beyond scheduled date of commissioning of equipment
 - Regulations should explicitly state that allowance or disallowance of costs not a ground for non-compliance with the environmental rules and regulations

Compliance with environmental norms...2

- Clause 35(6) should allow water charges only to the extent permitted by the environmental norms 2015 or any amendments to it as may be notified by the concerned authority
- Clause 11 should explicitly state that in-principle approval for capital expenditure should not be construed as final approval.
 - Final approval should be subject to prudence check
 - Commission should notify guidelines for approval process and data to be submitted for this purpose
 - Can adopt process similar to MERC, which has a well defined process and data formats

Coal-based plants with a captive coal mine

- Chapter 9 should be consistent with Coal Mines (Special Provisions) Act, 2015
 - Cost-of-mining based recovery of energy charges applicable to only to PSUs
 - Private sector generators' energy charge to be based on bid value
- Regulation 37
 - Coal mines have to be commissioned in a stipulated period costs arising due to delays should not be passed on to beneficiaries
 - Terms used in clauses 37(b) and 37(c) such as "value of production" and "touching coal and lignite" need to be clarified
- Regulations 39(5), 40(1), 41: Details of expenditure and additional expenditure on coal mines including their justification should be part of the public process of tariff determination
- Regulation 45
 - Since objective of captive coal mine allocation is also to reduce electricity tariffs, input coal cost computation should cap it at corresponding CIL notified price
 - The methodology of computing input price (Rs / MT) from information in Annexure V should be specified
 - Regulations should account for coal from captive mines being used in multiple units / stations

Capital costs and IDC

- Approach paper listed benchmark/reference cost as an option but it was not considered citing lack of sufficient data
 - Peculiar given the amount of operating coal capacity in the country
- Publicly available data states that hard cost of coal-based plants has not changed much but most increase is due to IDC, in other words delays
 - Strangely, costs of sub-critical units often seem to be higher than super-critical
 - Poor project management and execution seem to be main reasons for delays, not others

Hence

- Should not condone delays in land acquisition and associated cost-overruns (clause 11)
- Section 62 PPAs to be new plants should be on the lines of Section 63 whereby beneficiary has a right to invoke force majeure and terminate PPA for delays beyond one year
- Urgently undertake cost benchmarking exercise and use that as basis for cost approval
- Costs or penalties paid by generator or transmission company to the other party due to mismatched COD should not be passed on to consumers

Thermal plants completing 25 years

- Welcome proposal to optimally utilize such assets
 - No new capacity addition until such assets are utilized fully

- Existing PPAs will expire at 25 years
 - In case the beneficiary chooses to continue to buy power from the said unit/plant, fresh PPA should be signed and approved by the Commission after considering beneficiary's demand and alternative available sources
 - In case the unit/station opts for undertaking capital expenditure for renovation and modernization, strict time limits for project implementation should be imposed

Data to be published by generators...1

- Regulation 49(2) requiring generators to share all details of fuel procurement with beneficiaries welcome
 - Should also be publicly available for consumers as costs are eventually passed on to consumers
 - Generators to publish such data on their website in easy readable (e.g. spreadsheet) formats
- Costs claimed under change in law (for Section 62 and 63)
 - Need for separate data reporting formats for annual costs claimed under all change in law events
 - Data to be available on generators' websites in easily readable (e.g. spreadsheet) formats

Data to be published by generators...2

Flexibility in coal use

- In case of any coal diversion, be it for linkages and/or from captive mines, generator should be required to submit the details of such coal diversion along with cost savings that have resulted on this account
- In case of case-4 bidding under the flexible coal use policy, the generators should be required to demonstrate cost savings and also report whether their other units/stations faced any coal shortage during the period for which coal was diverted under this provision
- All data to be available on generators' websites in easily readable (e.g. spreadsheet) formats and should be properly archived

Thank you

ashwini@prayaspune.org
ashok@prayaspune.org