The GridPath Electricity Modeling Platform Advanced Software for Power-System Planning

Ana Mileva Blue Marble Analytics Ranjit Deshmukh University of California, Santa Barbara

November 23, 2020



Blue Marble Team



Dr. Ana Mileva is the founder of Blue Marble Analytics and the primary architect of the GridPath platform. Previously a consultant at E3, Ana was the lead developer of the RESOLVE model, now used widely for resource planning. She has wide-ranging experience consulting for utilities, government agencies, NGOs, and developers.



Dr. Ranjit Deshmukh is an Assistant Professor in the Environmental Studies department at UCSB and a faculty scientist at LBNL. Ranjit's research interests lie at the intersection of energy, environment, and economics, specifically in lowcarbon energy systems, electricity markets, and clean energy access.



Gerrit De Moor is an expert in integrated resource planning, renewable integration, and system reliability modeling. In his previous role at (E3), he was one of the main contributors to the development of E3's production simulation, capacity expansion planning, and resource adequacy tools.



Power System Modeling Approaches



Types of System Models





Capacity expansion model

Objective: Identify generation, storage, DR, and transmission investments and retirements

Method:

- Simultaneously minimize capacity and operations costs across several years
- Minimize system costs subject to technical, environmental, and policy constraints.



Limitations:

- To limit the size of the problem, inputs limited to only representative timepoints.
- Generators/storage may be simplified into fleets.
- Transmission flows represented by transport or DC power flow (not AC).



Production cost model

Objective: Estimate operating costs of the system and assess impacts of investments or strategies on system operation

Method:

- Unit commitment and economic dispatch model solved over 1 day or 1 year at 5, 15 min or hourly temporal resolution.
- Minimize operational costs subject to technical, environmental, and policy constraints.

Limitations:

- Generators, storage, DR, and transmission are exogenously specified.
- Transmission flows represented by transport or DC power flow (not AC).





Power/load flow model

Objective: Estimate voltages, currents, and power flows in a power system

Method:

- Steady state analysis of AC or DC power flows
- Optimal power flow also minimizes costs



Limitations:

- Generators, storage, DR, and transmission are exogenously specified.
- Only a snapshot of the power system is analyzed. Need to identify times and generation/load conditions of interest.



Transient stability model

Objective: Estimate voltages, currents, and power flows in a power system

Method:

• Introduce contingency (loss of large generator or transmission line) and simulate power system response.



Limitations:

• Generators, storage, DR, and transmission are exogenously specified.



Which Power System Model to Choose?

Select the model depending on the question.

- How much additional coal or gas generation do we need given a certain capacity of renewable energy and its variability?
- Which hours of the year is my system likely experience transmission and generation constraints?
- In those constrained hours, how will my system react to a contingency event?

Combination of models are essential to answer questions, especially in future low carbon grids.

All models are wrong, but some are useful – George Box





GridPath Modeling Platform Scope





10

Intro to GridPath



GridPath is an open-source modeling ecosystem that enables faster and more technically sophisticated planning for the clean energy transition.



GridPath's modular architecture enables:

A seamless interface between different modeling approaches

Reduces the labor-intensive datatranslation requirements across applications

Varying levels of complexity

User has flexibility to include or exclude features easily

User-defined granularity levels for modeling

Extensibility and adaptability

Novel functionality can be added quickly and seamlessly to tackle new questions about an evolving, decarbonizing grid



GridPath Functionality









Production Cost

Detailed operations of a specified power system over a short period

Multi-stage unit commitment and dispatch at subhourly temporal resolution

High-fidelity operations (e.g. heat-rate curves, minimum up and down times, startup trajectories for generators; DC power flow for transmission)

Capacity Expansion

Investment in new infrastructure over a long period

Simplified modeling of system operations

Lower temporal resolution

Simplified and/or aggregated representation of generation and transmission

Resource Adequacy

Loss of load probability and capacity needs

Monte Carlo simulation of low-resolution system dispatch over many conditions

Simplified and/or aggregated representation of generation and transmission

Asset Valuation Market performance of set of assets

Detailed operations of an asset or a set of assets

Price-taker with exogenous energy and/or A/S price streams

Blue Marble Analytics

Production-Cost Simulation with GridPath

Multi-stage unit-commitment and dispatch with flexible temporal span and resolution



Generators modeled with a high level of operational fidelity

Heat rate
curvesMinimum up
and down
timesRamp ratesStart costs by
cooling stateStartup and
shutdown
trajectoriesTransport modelDC power flow



Zonal or nodal topographies possible

Transmission lines can be represented via

Capacity Expansion with GridPath

Examine how the generation mix should evolve over the long-term Decide whether to **build or retire generation**, **storage**, **transmission**



Consider the impact of:

- ✓ Load growth and profile changes
- ✓ Power system policies
 - ✓ Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS)
 - ✓ Carbon cap

Blue Marble

Analytics

✓ Reliability requirements

Computational feasibility generally requires that aspects of the system be modeled in a simplified manner

✓ Sample days instead full year of dispatch



✓ Aggregation of plants



✓ Simplified transmission representation



15

Capacity Expansion with GridPath

Examine how the generation mix should evolve over the long-term Decide whether to **build or retire generation**, **storage**, **transmission**



Consider the impact of:

- ✓ Load growth and profile changes
- ✓ Power system policies
 - ✓ Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS)
 - ✓ Carbon cap
- ✓ Reliability requirements

Computational feasibility generally requires that aspects of the system be modeled in a simplified manner



Unlike other capacity-expansion models, GridPath does not decide what to simplify ahead of time

User can add detail usually reserved for production cost simulation in capacity expansion model (while potentially removing detail elsewhere to keep problem feasible)



User Interface

GridPath's user interface can enable a wider range of users to interact with the platform

Home Scenario Comparison New Scenario My Scenarios	ows the list of scenarios n and run status	User can view detail for each scenario including status, input data, and results					New scenarios can be created and run from within the user interface				n			
New scenario Update scenarios list Ordenti U Service Scenario Validation Status Run Status Detail Results RESOLVE_RSP_PD valid complete Vew Results RESOLVE_RSP_PD valid complete Vew Results RESOLVE_RSP_PD valid complete Vew Results			User can also run, validate, edit, and delete the scenario				All input and results data can be viewed and downloaded from the UI					nd		
Ard Lin, LUS Area Constrained Ard Lin, LUS Area Constrained Ard Lin, LUS Area Constrained Ard Lin, LUS Ard L	Value Complete Value that is Value that is not_validated not_r.r.n Value that is not_validated not_r.r.n Value that is not_validated not_r.r.n Value that is not_validated not_r.r.n Value that is not_validated not_r.r.n Value that is rot_validated not_r.r.n Value that is	RESOLVE_RSP_PD Scenario Details Update scenario detail Edit Scenario Deteil Scenario Scenario Status Nan Status Action Detail Run End Time Validation Statu valid Validation Results Vere Results Carbon Cap: Tack Carbon Inports Carbon Cap: Tack Carbon Inports Carbon Cap: Tack Carbon Inports PRM (Planning Reserve Margin) PRM (Planning Reserve End Following Down PRM (Planning Reserve Regulation Down PRM Planning Reserve Regulation Down Preserve: Re	Home Scenario New Scenario New Scenario Resource (RPS) PO Scenario Name:	Cristette Ul Sandeor Populate from Scenario Home Scenario Back 8760_w_LDS_ Download Table Dr Load Zones: Tran transmission_load_ 1 1 1 1	GridPath UI fios Scenario Comparison New 1 OMMT_NoShedDR_w_Opt3 I ata smitssion Eane_scenario_id transmission_line BANC_to_CAISO IID_to_BANC IID_to_CAISO IID_to_	Settings	m lead_zone_to CAISO BANC CAISO LDWP CAISO	ettings Cher Piots	Capacity Factors Select Zone Select Zone Trimar (MW): Show Plot Download Data	New Capacity Select Zone Ymax (MW): Show Piot Download Data	includes a alizations OrdPath U Select Zone Ymax (MW): Show Piot Download Data	I Sandbox Total Capacity Select Zone Ymax (MW): Show Piot Download Data	Carbon Emissions Select Carbon Cap Area Ymax (MW): Show Plot Download Data	System Costs Select Zone 5 Select Zinge 6 Ymax (MW): 5 Download Data 5 D
 Main UI Features Access scenario/runs table Give information about each scenario Create new scenarios Run scenario View scenario results Compare scenario results 			Transmission & G	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Download Table De	NW_to_BANC NW_to_CAISO NW_to_CAISO_Unspec NW_to_CAISO_Unspec NW_to_SW SW_to_BANC SW_to_BANC SW_to_LID SW_to_LIDWP the CritiPath	NW CAISO_NW_HY NW SW SW SW Website	BANC CAISO CAISO.NWLHydro LDWP BANC CAISO CAISO LDWP LDWP	20,000 20,000 10,000 0 10,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Pre-	borner - CAISO - 2020 - 1 Web Friding 12 12 14 15 16 17	Load + Skorge (Lades) Lades Lades	4 → → → → → → → → → →	

GridPath Studies



GridPath Studies: Least cost scenarios for South Africa

- Model South Africa's electricity system as a single node (no transmission)
- Spatial diversity in wind and solar resources



Policy

- 4 investment periods each representing several years between 2020 and 2035
 - Select dozens of wind and solar sites, conventional coal, combined cycle and combustion gas turbines, battery storage
- 12 sample days of 24 hours each per investment period, weighted appropriately to represent a year



- Build the system either WITH or WITHOUT the 4.8 GW Inga 3 dam
- Least cost investments without any renewable targets or carbon caps.

GridPath Studies: Least cost scenarios for South Africa

GridPath analysis main goal: identify the least cost generation investments to meet future demand



South Africa's future load (in 2035) can be mostly met by investments in wind, natural gas, and solar.

GridPath Studies: Least cost scenarios for South Africa

GridPath analysis main goal: understand the benefits and costs of one major generation project



Base Case - CSIR capital costs

- × Low Load
- Climate warming

- Climate extreme warming
- ▲ Daily Peak Increase

 In almost all scenarios, South Africa's system costs with Inga3 were higher than without Inga 3 dam.



30 MMT Scenario



The goal of California Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) proceeding is to develop an optimal portfolio of resources for use in long-term electricity planning, known as the Reference System Portfolio.

We have benchmarked GridPath to the 2017-2018 and 2019-2020 IRP results.





Setup

Temporal

Reliability

 Model CAISO and 5 more load zones (LADWP, BANC, IID in California; Northwest; Southwest)



- 4 to 7 investment periods each representing several years between present day and 2030 or 2045
 - Choose among dozens of wind and solar sites in and outside California, different types of storage and gas
 - 37 sample days of 24 hours each per investment period, weighted appropriately to represent a year

Full Year					
37 Sample Days	; , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	т Ц	$\overset{\checkmark}{\Box}\overset{\vee}{\Box}\overset{\vee}{\Box}\overset{\vee}{\Box}$	Ľ Ľ	Ľ

- 50-60% renewable portfolio standard (RPS) in 2030 (generators in and outside CAISO can contribute)
 - Carbon cap (in-CAISO generation + imports x intensity)
- Operating reserve requirements in CAISO (spinning reserves, regulation up and down, load following up and down, frequency response)
- 15% planning reserve margin (PRM) with endogenous wind and solar capacity credit

Zonal Setup

Policy

GridPath analysis main goal: understand value streams and economic retirement of gas generators

Additional functionality added:

 Disaggregate "peaker" and "CCGT" fleets to plant level and model individual plant operating characteristics



- Allow retirements of peakers and CCGTs, therefore avoiding the need to pay their annual fixed O&M cost
- Model local capacity requirements to ensure sufficient resources are available for local reliability in addition to systemlevel services





GridPath analysis main goal: understand value of long-duration storage

Additional functionality added:

- Instead of the 37 "sample" days, use 8760 sequential hours in order to capture energy shifts that happen over longer time scales than a single day
- Develop "extreme weather" load and renewable profiles







GridPath Studies: Optimal investments with RE targets in India

- Model India's electricity system as a single node (no transmission) and with 30+ load zones (with transmission)
- Spatial diversity in wind and solar resources



Temporal Setup

Reliability

- 4 investment periods each representing several years between 2018 and 2030
 - Select dozens of wind and solar sites, conventional coal, combined cycle and combustion gas turbines, battery storage
- 12 sample days of 24 hours each per investment period, weighted appropriately to represent a year

- Renewable Portfolio Obligation targets of 10%, 30%, 50%, and 70% (only wind and solar
 - Multiple cost trajectories for wind, solar, and battery storage

- Operating reserve requirements at the regional and state level (ongoing study)
- Planning reserve margin (PRM)

26

Policy

GridPath Studies: Optimal investments with RE targets in India

GridPath analysis main goal: identify **the least cost generation investments to meet future demand and meet renewable energy goals**



lue Marble

Preliminary results (single node model)

- When wind and solar costs are low, 400-440 GW of variable renewable energy (VRE) capacity can be built cost-effectively
- For low RPO targets, battery storage is optimal only when wind, solar, and battery costs are low
- But battery storage is selected for higher (50-70%) RPO targets
- Results will get more interesting with transmission

GridPath Studies: Optimal investments with RE targets in India

GridPath analysis main goal: estimate **generation, renewable energy curtailment, cost, and emissions** in future (and present) electricity system



Preliminary results (single node model)

- Low cost wind and solar could result in achieving 40% share in total generation cost-optimally.
- Renewable energy curtailment increases with high RPS targets
- Storage reduces renewable energy curtailment

GridPath Studies: Ongoing studies in other regions

- Southern Africa Power Pool (12 countries)
- o Myanmar
- US (Western Electricity Coordinating Council)
- o China





Thank You

Contact ana@bluemarble.run rdeshmukh@ucsb.edu