SEB Reforms and Power Sector Regulation: A Civil Society Perspective

Presentation at the Workshop Organized by the IPPAI Mumbai, 15th November 1999

by

Dr. Subodh Wagle

Prayas Energy Group, Pune

Part I: Substantive Issues

Continuation of Problematic Trends

- ⇒ Confusion between 'Ends' and 'Means', and 'Means' becoming 'Ends' in themselves
- ⇒ Confusion between 'Symptoms' and 'Root-Causes'
- ⇒ Substitution of 'Hard Decisions' by 'Soft Options'

Part II: Process Issues

- ⇒ Genesis of the Crisis: A Process-Related Root-Cause
 - Breakdown, Circumvention, Capture, Distortions in Mechanisms and Institutions for Transparency, Accountability, and Participation
 - Loss of Connection between Society and Decision-Makers
- ⇒ Improvements in Design of Reforms
- ⇒ Problems in Implementing the Improvements
- ⇒ Worrisome Trends and Signs
 - Governments continue to be excessively secretive
 - Regulators isolated from society
 - Signs of regressive changes

Society and Regulators: I

Regulators: Kings with the Thorny Crown

- ♦ Regulators as the Prime Decision-Makers
- Responsibility of Making and Executing Hard / Harsh /
 Unpleasant Decisions
- Have to Work Under Political and Other Pressures as well as Financial and Institutional Limitations
- Isolation from Society because of the Lack of Mechanisms for Ensuring Acceptability from Society

Society and Regulators: II

Mandated Responsibilities Vs. People's Expectations

- Legal Mandate of Regulators
 - * Efficiency, Economy, Competition
 - * Protecting Consumers
- Peoples' Expectations from Regulators, the Decision-Makers
 - Protecting Interests of Disadvantaged Sections
 - Protecting Long-Term and Broader Interests of Society
 (as a whole)
 - * Taking Public into Full Confidence

Failure to reestablish close connection between society and regulators would lead to another crisis

The Crisis Scenario

- Regulators caught between the responsibilities and expectation vs. pressures and limitations
- Failure to deliver to contradictory expectations of different sections
- Prompting backlash from people and other sections
- Regulators react by insulating themselves using their discretionary powers
- Increased backlash, leading to conflict and strife
- Leading to a vicious cycle of public reaction and regulators' isolation

Regulation, instead of becoming a process of conflict-resolution and consensus-building, might become a point of manifestation of conflict and strife.

Solution: Democratization OR <u>TAP</u> ing the Regulation

- ♦ Mandatory and Full <u>T</u>ransparency:
- ♦ Direct <u>A</u>ccountability towards Public
- ♦ Expanding Space for <u>Participation of Civil Society</u>
 Institutions
- ⇒ Costs of Democratization
 - ♦ Time Delays, Legal Hassles, and Money Costs
- ⇒ Benefits of Democratization
 - Wider ownership and societal consensus on reforms, leading to improved health of the sector and economy
- ⇒ Need to Transcend the Restricted Paradigm and Mandate

Managing the Transition

- ♦ Tackling the Urgent Issues
- Avoiding Irreversible Decisions on Fundamental Issues
- Initiating Comprehensive Debate with Wider Participation
- Refining the Reforms: Installing New Institutions and Procedures
- ♦ Capacity Building of Civil Society Institutions