

Submission Before MERC (22nd February 2000)

PART I

Additional Evidence on

⇒ **Plant Dispatching**

⇒ **Agricultural Consumption**


Plant Dispatching

- **Sample Case of 19th Sept. 1999 Dispatch**
- **Loss of Rs 85 Crore due to Un-economic Dispatch of DPC**

Freq. > 50 Hz, DPC Generation > 250 MW -- for 2,554 Hr.

Agricultural Consumption

Convenient and Sacrosanct Sink for Dumping Excessive T&D Losses



Three Crucial Evidences


- **GoM Decision of Mula Prawra Tariff**
⇒ 1,600 Hr. / year
- **Oral Evidence by Member (Tech.) MSEB at Pune**
⇒ 1,280 - 1,930 Hr. / year
- **MSEB's Feeder Monitoring Data (for 27 feeders)**
⇒ 1,020 Hr. / year

==>Realistic Estimate of Agri. Consumption = 11,000 +/- 1,300 MU

And

Real T&D Loss = 28 to 33 %

Changes in Nature And Character of the Proposal



PART II

Arriving at the Logical Conclusion of the 'Eventful' Process

Three Critical Factors Determining the Conclusion

- ⇒ **Lack of Internally Consistent Data and Information and Lack of Reliable Evidence Supporting the Data**
- ⇒ **Financial Situation of MSEB and Timing of The Proposal**
- ⇒ **Sanctity of Process and Going Back to People**
 - **Blow to Sanctity in the Past Led to the Grotesque Inefficiencies and Loss of Public Faith**
 - **Resolving the Conflict between the 'Imperative of Process Sanctity' and 'Situational or Substantive Compulsions'**
 - **Altering the Frame of Reference Posing Serious Threat to Process Sanctity**

Plea:

- ⇒ **The Commission, through Interim Order, Directs MSEB to Come Back with Revised Proposal for the FY 2000-2001**