MSEB's Principles and Protocol of Load Shedding

Comments / Suggestions

By

Prayas (Energy Group) Pune

Public Hearing, Pune dt. May 30th 2005

- We welcome MERC and MSEB's approach of conducting public hearings and transparently deciding load shedding protocol
- Large load shedding
 - Huge inconvenience and adverse economic impact (on consumers)
- → Need to
 - → Minimize inconvenience and losses due to load shedding
 - → Adopt measures to reduce demand supply gap

Allocation of Load Shedding

- Allocation of load shedding should be guided by three factors
 - Economy
 - Accountability
 - Equity

• MSEB Current Proposal - Guided only by utility economy

- Supply more to areas / consumers with higher revenue
- Unwillingness to have costly supply even for short duration / Peak periods (e.g. use of liquid fuel at Uran – 350 MW additional power)

MSEB Proposal – Lack of accountability ..1

- Sudden introduction of new concepts like ATC (LT based)
- Lack of reliable data
 - LS claims not supported with data / not monitored by any outside agency
 - Agricultural consumption no scientific sampling and proper readings
 - Energy Audit no detailed scrutiny / validation (by MERC or any outside agency)
 - Billing data average billing, bill adjustments (B 80)

MSEB Proposal – Lack of accountability ..2

- No inconvenience / responsibility on
 - MSEB
 - MSEB employees, including MSEB board
 - State government officials
 - Ministers
- No action on DSM
 - 2 % fund
 - Pilot DSM schemes

MSEB Proposal – Lack of equity considerations

- Consumers paying same tariff but discriminated in load shedding (from 0 hrs. to upto 9 hrs. load shedding)
- No restrictions on 'luxury' and 'wasteful'
 consumption (10 AC's in minister's house!)

Enhance Accountability Through

- Stringent measures for improving data reliability
 - 11 KV feeder-wise weekly data of load shedding on the website
 - Scientific sampling of agricultural DTC metering
 - Independent validation of Energy Audit and Billing data

Enhance Accountability Through

- Penalty for no reduction in losses
 - MSEB employees, including Chairman and Board members (e.g. no salary hike till LS and / or high ATC losses continue in that area)

- Reduce inequity ...1
 - No circle / consumers / areas should be
 TOTALLY exempted from load shedding
 - Minimum load shedding of 1 hr. / day (or 7 hrs. per week) irrespective of ATC losses and commercial considerations
 - Not more than 4 hrs. LS at a stretch

- Reduce inequity ...2
 - Curb luxury / wasteful consumption
 - E.g. No AC's in any GoM office / GoM provided houses till LS continues (including Mantralaya and Ministers' bungalows)
 - Priority to reduce 8 / 9 hrs. load shedding (i.e. not to reduce LS in urban areas where it is already 3 /4 hrs.)
 - Tariff rebate for consumers with more than 6 hrs. LS

Prayers

- 1. ATC based load shedding should be allowed only if accompanied with other measures to reduce 'Accountability and Equity Deficit'.
- 2. Ensure strict compliance through conditional approval of protocol and regular monitoring (in the presence of consumers)
- 3. Review, through public hearings after six months

Typical questions in the Regulatory Impact Assessment - TERI Presentation

- What were the specific objectives of Regulatory intervention?
- Who paid the costs? Who got the benefits?
- What was the impact on the stakeholders, economy and the sector?
- Was compliance monitored and enforced?