

# ● PRAYAS

Initiatives in Health, Energy,  
Learning and Parenthood



Amrita Clinic, Athawale Corner, Karve Road, Deccan Gymkhana, Pune - 411 004, INDIA.

 (020) 542 0720 / 562 05726 Fax: (020) 542 0337. E-mail: prayas@vsnl.com Web-site: www.prayaspune.org

## TPC – FY 03-04 ARR - MERC Technical Validation Session (November 25, 2003)

### Additional Data Requirement

1. Soft copies of data already submitted:
  - ARR Tables,
  - Tables from – Vol. II (A, B C), III B, IV, VI
2. Unit wise Capital cost – (Gross as well as Net) of Trombay Units in operation
3. Item 12 - Prayas list dt. 20<sup>th</sup> October 2003 – Though some data are provided, some additional data needs to be provided. Purpose wise break-up of T & D capital assets (gross as well as net) (i.e. assets for bulk supply to distribution licensees and assets for direct supply to consumers)
4. Item 14 - Prayas list dt. 20<sup>th</sup> October 2003 – TPC has not submitted details regarding financing plan for the proposed capital expenditure. On pg. 64, Vol. IV, TPC has only mentioned “*We propose to finance the capital expenditure through internal accruals and borrowing depending on respective cost of resources from time to time.*” Considering the large CapEx planned by TPC it is essential to evaluate the efficiency of CapEx financing plan and TPC should provide the data requested in this regard.
5. Item 15 of Prayas list dt. 20<sup>th</sup> October 2003 i.e. (a) Full details regarding the limits on use of different fuels and / or Sulfur or other emissions (for environmental or other reasons) at Trombay. (b) Detailed explanation about how TPC has evaluated least cost options (emission control technology, fuel choice etc.) to meet this limit This should also include details about the performance and capacity utilization of emission control technology being used and sulfur balance etc (c) Graphically show the Annual Sulfur balance (quantity of Sulfur coming in from individual sources i.e. oil and coal; Sulfur removed by pollution control equipment and actual emission of Sulfur).
6. A note of transactions with group / affiliate companies in the last five years: This note should detail transactions worth more than Rs. 5 crore in any year. This should include (to and from) payments for consultancy, sale or purchase, etc.
7. Data related to fuel procurement: Though substantial data has been provided regarding fuel purchase and usage, additional data is required to evaluate the reasonability of fuel cost. For this following data for FY 03-04, FY 02-03, and FY 01-02 should be provided.

**Table - A**

| Sr. No. |   | Coal     |       | Imported Liquid Fuel |
|---------|---|----------|-------|----------------------|
|         |   | Imported | Local |                      |
| 1 a     | Total number of purchase contracts  |          |       |                      |
| 1 b     | Value of contracts (in Rs Cr)   |          |       |                      |
| 2 a     | Number of contracts directly with manufacturers   |          |       |                      |
| 2b      | Value of above contracts (in Rs Cr)   |          |       |                      |
| 3 a     | Number of contracts with agents / traders / re-sellers  |          |       |                      |
| 3 b     | Value of contracts (in Rs Cr)   |          |       |                      |
| 4 a     | Number of contracts with other group companies / affiliates (e.g. TISCO)                                    |          |       |                      |
| 4 b     | Value of contracts (in Rs Cr)   |          |       |                      |
| 5       | Commission, consultancy or any other charges paid to group / affiliate companies (related to fuel purchase) |          |       |                      |

**Table B - Information about Competitive tender process**

| Sr.No. | Parameter   | Tender 1 | Tender 2 |
|--------|---|----------|----------|
| 1      | Type of fuel  |          |          |
| 2      | Tender Details  |          |          |
|        | Quantity  |          |          |
|        | Quality   |          |          |
|        | Other details (receiving port, time-frame etc.)   |          |          |
| 3      | Whether final contract and tender terms were same?  |          |          |
| 4      | Number of bidders   |          |          |
| 5      | Number of technically qualified bidders   |          |          |
| 6      | Was contract awarded to lowest bidder?  |          |          |
| 7      | If answer to above is no, then please provide reasons for the same  |          |          |
| 8      | Was any group company involved in this process (e.g. as bidder, seller, consultant, procurement agent etc.) |          |          |

-- X --